



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,093	03/02/2004	Robert Scott Winsor	0918.0269C	1178
27896	7590	05/08/2007	EXAMINER	
EDELL, SHAPIRO & FINNAN, LLC			WANG, QUAN ZHEN	
1901 RESEARCH BOULEVARD				
SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850			2613	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/08/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/790,093	WINSOR, ROBERT SCOTT
	Examiner Quan-Zhen Wang	Art Unit 2613

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Quan-Zhen Wang.

(3) Andrew Flooam (Representatives).

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) Robert Winsor (Inventor).

Date of Interview: 02 May 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Identification of prior art discussed: UP PAT 5,786,923; 5,623,363, 4,361,911 and Koyama article.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

- A. The Inventor presented a thorough explanation on free space optical communication systems (FSOCS) today and disadvantages to using lasers in FSOCS, and the claimed invention and its benefits.
- B. Examiner pointed out that, in accordance with MPEP, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function alone.
- D. The Applicant and his Representatives plan to file a formal response to the outstanding Office Action with claim amendments.
- E. The examiners indicated that any amendment is subject to further consideration upon formal submission of a response.